1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOE LENZIE TURNER,	No. 2:15-cv-01758-GGH
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	FELICIA PONCE, Warden,	
15	Respondent.	
16		I
17	Petitioner has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
18	2241, and paid the requisite filing fee. On October 7, 2015, the court ordered petitioner to show	
19	cause why the petition should not be dismissed for failure to show he is entitled to relief. ECF	
20	No. 7. The court explained that the petition seemed to allege petitioner's custody classification	
21	score was miscalculated, "adversely affect[ing] [his] custody level, housing, work assignments,	
22	level of supervision[,] and eligibility for desirable activities." <u>Id.</u> at 2 (citing ECF No. 1 at	
23	Exhibit B). Such claims do not entitle petitioner to relief under § 2241 because they do not	
24	challenge the fact or duration of confinement. <u>See, e.g., Preiser v. Rodriguez</u> , 411 U.S. 475, 485	
25	(1973). On November 2, 2015, petitioner submitted a response to the court's order to show cause	
26	explaining that his petition is, in fact, meant to challenge the duration of his confinement. ECF	
27	////	

28 ////

No. 8.1 Petitioner contends that a prior state court conviction was improperly considered a prior 1 2 sentence for purposes of calculating his criminal history points, delaying his projected release 3 date. Id. at 1–2, 9–11. Since petitioner may be entitled to the requested relief if the claimed violation of 4 5 constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file an answer or a motion to 6 dismiss. 7 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 8 1. The court's October 7, 2015, order to show cause, ECF No. 7, is hereby discharged. 9 2. Respondent is directed to file an answer or a motion to dismiss within sixty (60) days 10 from the date of this order. If an answer is filed, respondent shall include with the answer any 11 and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the determination of the issues presented in the 12 application. 13 3. Petitioner's traverse, if any, is due on or before thirty (30) days from the date 14 respondent's answer is filed; an opposition to a motion to dismiss is due within thirty days of 15 service of the motion to dismiss. 16 Dated: November 10, 2015 17 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 /GGH17;turn1758.100 25 26 27

28

¹ Petitioner submitted a second response on November 5, 2015, that mirrors the first. ECF No. 9.